ALEXANDRA PARK & PALACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE RESOLUTIONS

ATTACHMENT A

ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE FROM ITS MEETING ON

<u>31st May 2011</u>

PRESENTED TO THE ALEXANDRA PALACE AND PARK BOARD MEETING ON 21st June 2011

COMPLETED WITH THE BOARD'S ACCEPTANCE/REJECTION AND REASONS WHY AS APPROPRIATE

	Advice and Recommendations	Accepted or Rejected & Reasons Why
1	ELECTION OF CHAIR (APSC01)	NOTED
	 RESOLVED i. That Mr D. Liebeck (Warner Estate Residents' Association) be duly elected as Chair of the Alexandra Park and Palace Advisory Committee for the Municipal Year 2011/12. ii. That Councillor Errol Reid be duly elected as Vice-Chair of the Alexandra Park and Palace Advisory Committee for the Municipal Year 2011/12. 	
2	MEMBERSHIPS	
	RESOLVED	NOTED
	That the allocation of 7 of the 8 places from the qualifying	
	Associations to the Alexandra Palace and Park Advisory	
	Committee for the Municipal Year 2011/12 as detailed below	
	be noted:	
	Nominated Members	
	Alexandra Residents' Association: Ms Jane Hutchinson	

	Bounds Green and District Residents' Association: Mr Ken
	Ranson
	Muswell Hill and Fortis Green Association: Mr Denis
	Heathcote
	Palace Gates Residents' Association: Ms Jackie Baker
	Palace View Residents' Association: Ms Elizabeth
	Richardson
	The Rookfield Association: Mr David Frith
	Warner Estate Residents' Association: Mr David Liebeck
	Plus 1 vacancy
	The Statutory Advisory Committee Urgency Sub Committee
	members for the Municipal Year 2011.12 as detailed below
	be noted:
	David Liebeck (Chair)
	Cllr Errol Reid (Vice Chair)
	David Frith
	Denis Heathcote
3	
	Clerk's note: Cllrs Demirci and Peacock left the room during
	discussions of 5a and 5b. below as they were members of
	Haringey's Planning Committee.

a. <u>Park Activities Update – Coronation Sidings</u> Development (including Hornsey Depot

RESOLVED

RESOLVED

- i. That the Board be strongly recommended to reconsider it's decision not to object to the proposals and to submit a letter of objection to the Coronation Sidings planning proposals on the grounds that the views from the Park would be spoiled by the scale of the proposed building.
- That the Board be reminded that, at it's meeting on 5th April 2011, the Advisory Committee had requested more information from Network Rail on the proposals, which had not been received.

a. i. that having considered the advice of the joint informal meeting of the Alexandra Park and Palace Advisory Committee and Alexandra Palace and Park Consultative Committees, and the formal resolutions of the Alexandra Park and Palace Advisory Committee of 31 May 2011 that the Board should object to the proposed development at Coronation Sidings, and requesting that this Board reconsiders its previous decision of 9 May 2011, and accepts the decision and advice of both the informal meeting of the Alexandra Park and Palace Advisory and Alexandra Palace and Park Consultative Committees, and the Alexandra Park and Palace Advisory Committee to formally object to the planning application, following consideration of the advice and having reviewed the concerns expressed, together with those of local residents and stakeholders, that its decision of 9 May 2011 with regard to proposed planning application for development at Coronation Sidings, the decision of the Board of 9 May 2011 be varied so that its primary position is one of a formal objection to the proposed planning application for the development at Coronation Sidings on the grounds that the scale of the development would spoil and blight the existing views from Alexandra Palace:

a. ii. that the decision of the Alexandra Palace and Park Board of 9 May 2011 to make a representation regarding the planning application for the Coronation Sidings development, and request section 106 funds to carry out a planting scheme on the park side of the development, and requesting that the developer provides trees, shrubs, and/or climbing plants on their land, and the proposed

ALEXANDRA PARK & PALACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE RESOLUTIONS

	colour scheme blends into the landscape, remain as its secondary position and re- forwarded to the LB Haringey with resolution (iii) above as a caveat for consideration by the LB Haringey in the event that the proposed planning application be granted approval.
 b. <u>Muswell Hill Entrance Footbridge Proposals</u> NOTED the report and the comments of the Joint Advisory and Consultative Committee. Clerk's note: ClIrs Demirci and Peacock returned to the meeting. 	b. That in respect of proposed replacement of the roof of the Muswell Hill pedestrian bridge, and the endorsement of the joint informal meeting of the Advisory and Consultative Committees of 31 May 2011 respectively and the formal resolutions of the Advisory Committee to the proposals, that confirmation of, and endorsement be given to the proposed roof replacement.
 e. <u>Item Raised by Interested Group – Haringey</u> <u>Standards Board Hearing</u> NOTED the comments of Jacob O'Callaghan (detailed in the minutes of 31st May 2011 – appendix a) 	considers the matter of the outcome of the Standards Cttee, at its meeting in October 2011 the matter will be considered as an exempt matter and consequently the outcome/decision thereto may not be able to be reported back to the SAC. ii. That the Board be requested to review the circumstances which lead to the Alexandra
RESOLVED	Palace and Park Charitable Trust entering into a binding agreement with Firoka as revealed in the Walklate Report and findings in order to clear the air and ensure that
i. That the Board be recommended to give due and proper consideration to the views and comments made during the Informal Advisory and Consultative Committees debate on this matter and report back to both Committees in due course, as to the outcome of	Board's response: Noted

ALEXANDRA PARK & PALACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE RESOLUTIONS

	their deliberations.	Charitable Trust from Firoka arising from their agreement with Firoka.
ii.	That the Board be requested to review the circumstances which lead to the Alexandra Palace and Park Charitable Trust entering into a binding agreement with Firoka as revealed in the Walklate Report and findings in order to clear the air and ensure that lessons had been learnt and to prevent any such situation of a similar nature arising in future.	Board's response: That the SAC be asked to clarify whether the resolution was pertaining solely to the losses sustained through the Firoka development, or the wider issue of losses.
iii.	That the Board be requested to consider recovering some of the financial losses to the Charitable Trust from Firoka arising from their agreement with Firoka.	
iv.	That Mr O'Callaghan provide a chronology of events leading up to and relating to the Firoka agreement being signed,	